

Scientific-Medical Deep-Dive

Purpose. This text works through the scientific-medical strand of the FORSCH-0090 bundle in full and closes the clinical-nutrition questions treated comparatively briefly in the main paper (Layers 3, 5, 6, 7) and the science spin-off. Two things are kept strictly apart: (i) the **biochemical-pathophysiological burden side** of high animal-product consumption, and (ii) the **nutritional adequacy** of plant-forward alternatives — because showing the one does not automatically show the other. Every claim is graded by strength of evidence at the end (§9).

Methodological frame. Sources are weighted along the bundle’s six-tier evidence hierarchy: Mendelian randomization > large cohort > RCT > mechanistic research > position paper > industry-funded/popular. “Causally established” is used only for findings that reach this threshold (de facto only the LDL chain). Everything else is marked “mechanistically plausible”, “strongly observational”, or “contested”.

1. Biochemical burden pathways — complete and calibrated

High consumption of (especially red and processed) mammalian meat triggers several mutually independent biochemical pathways. The calibration is decisive: not every pathway is causally proven, and their strengths differ greatly.

1.1 TMAO (trimethylamine-N-oxide). L-carnitine and choline (abundant in red meat, eggs) are metabolised by gut bacteria to TMA; the liver (FMO3) oxidises it to TMAO. Plasma TMAO correlates with cardiovascular risk in large cohorts (Wang et al. 2011, *Nature*, doi:10.1038/nature09922; Tang et al. 2013, *NEJM*, doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1109400); meta-analysis: +23% CVD risk per 10 µmol/L (Heianza et al. 2017, *JAHA*, doi:10.1161/JAHA.116.004947). **Status: contested-mechanistic.** TMAO may be partly a marker rather than a cause (renal function as confounder); yet the dietary lever is real, because vegans’ gut flora produce far less TMAO after a carnitine load (Koeth et al. 2013, *Nature Medicine*, doi:10.1038/nm.3145). Reasonable caution, not a causal endpoint.

1.2 Neu5Gc / xenosialitis. Humans lost the CMAH gene ~2–3 Myr ago (Chou et al. 1998, doi:10.1073/pnas.95.20.11751) and cannot synthesise the sialic acid Neu5Gc — yet absorb it from red meat and dairy and incorporate it into tissue. Anti-Neu5Gc antibodies plus dietary Neu5Gc produce “xenosialitis” with accelerated tumour progression in mouse models (Samraj et al. 2015, *PNAS*, doi:10.1073/pnas.1417508112; Padler-Karavani et al. 2008). **Status: mechanistically plausible, hard to isolate epidemiologically in humans.** Its independence matters: this pathway acts irrespective of calories, cholesterol and saturated fat — “less fat” does not resolve it.

1.3 IGF-1 / mTOR — age-dependent. Animal protein (especially dairy) raises plasma IGF-1; the leucine-driven mTOR pathway (Saxton & Sabatini 2017, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2017.02.004) promotes proliferation. Levine et al. 2014 (*Cell Metabolism*, doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2014.02.006): high animal-protein intake at ages 50–65 associated with elevated total and cancer mortality — **the effect reverses in the over-65s** (protection against sarcopenia). This age-dependence is clinically central and conveniently omitted by both pro- and anti-protein camps. **Status: strongly observational + mechanistic.**

1.4 Heme iron, N-nitroso compounds, lipid peroxidation. Heme iron escapes the body’s absorption regulation (unlike non-heme iron), catalyses endogenous formation of carcinogenic N-nitroso compounds (NOCs) and lipid peroxidation in the gut lumen; colorectally carcinogenic in animal models (Bastide et al. 2011, *Cancer Prevention Research*, doi:10.1158/1940-6207.CAPR-10-0113). Heme iron is also associated with type-2 diabetes (mechanism: oxidative stress, β-cell damage). **Status: mechanistically well supported; the NOC pathway is the most likely molecular mediator of the IARC colorectal finding (§2).**

1.5 AGEs and cooking products. High-heat animal foods (grilling, frying) form advanced glycation end-products (AGEs) plus heterocyclic amines (HCAs) and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) — the latter mutagenic. AGEs correlate with endothelial dysfunction and insulin resistance (Uribarri et al. 2010, doi:10.1016/j.jada.2010.03.018). **Status: mechanistically plausible; partly preparation- not product-specific.**

1.6 Postprandial endotoxaemia. Fat-rich animal meals briefly raise plasma LPS (heat-stable endotoxins of gram-negative bacteria) and inflammatory markers (Erridge et al. 2007, doi:10.1093/ajcn/86.5.1286; Ghanim et al. 2009, doi:10.2337/dc09-0979); plant meals barely. **Status: mechanistic, short-term marker.**

Interim §1. Six independent pathways. None is “the killer”; they sum at the intakes common in industrial countries. Only one — the LDL chain (§3) — reaches causal evidence; the others are mechanistically plausible to strongly observational. Holding this grading honestly matters more than the list itself.

2. Carcinogenicity — the IARC finding made explicit

The cancer strand, so far only implicit in the bundle, deserves spelling out because it is the best-institutionalised assessment.

In 2015 the **IARC** (WHO) classified processed meat as **Group 1 (“carcinogenic to humans”)** and red meat as **Group 2A (“probably carcinogenic”)** (Bouvard et al. 2015, *Lancet Oncology* 16(16):1599-1600, doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(15)00444-1; working group of 22 experts/10 countries). Quantification: **each 50 g of processed meat daily raises colorectal-cancer risk by 18%.** Evidence was “sufficient” for processed and “limited” for red meat.

Serious framing. The Group-1 classification concerns the *strength of evidence* that an association exists — not the *effect size* (contrary to the common misreading: processed meat is not “as dangerous as tobacco”; both are merely in the same evidence class). Molecular mediators: heme/NOC (§1.4), HCA/PAH from heating (§1.5), possibly Neu5Gc (§1.2). The convergence of epidemiology (IARC) and mechanism (§1) is unusually good here. **Status: strongly observational with institutional consensus + mechanistic support.**

3. Cardiovascular — the only causally established chain

3.1 LDL is causal, not just a marker. Mendelian randomization uses genetic variants as lifelong “natural randomisation”. Ference et al. 2012 (*JACC* 60(25):2631-2639, doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2012.09.017): 9 polymorphisms in 6 genes, 312,321 participants, **54.5% CHD reduction per mmol/L lower LDL** over lifelong exposure — orders of magnitude stronger than short-term statin intervention, because exposure is lifelong. This establishes LDL as a *cause* of atherosclerosis, not merely a companion.

3.2 Saturated animal fats raise LDL — quantitatively. The WHO-commissioned analysis (Mensink 2016, *WHO*, regression over 84 RCTs, 2,353 participants): replacing **1 energy-% saturated fat with polyunsaturated lowers LDL by ~0.055 mmol/L (≈2.1 mg/dL)**; MUFA and carbohydrate lower it less. This closes the bridge: saturated animal fat → LDL (RCT-quantified) → atherosclerosis (MR-causal).

3.3 The saturated-fat controversy — honestly. A minority position (cholesterol sceptics; partly leaning on the Sugar Research Foundation scandal, Kearns et al. 2016, doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2016) disputes the diet-heart hypothesis. The point is methodologically limited: individual SFA→PUFA-swap RCTs showed heterogeneous *hard endpoints*. But the LDL *surrogate* effect (§3.2) is uncontested and, via MR causality (§3.1), tied to hard endpoints. The sugar scandal does not refute LDL causality — it only explains why sugar was historically underrated.

3.4 Meat and CVD directly. Zhong et al. 2020 (*JAMA Internal Medicine*, doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2019.69 pooled US cohorts): two servings of red/processed meat per week associated with 3–7% higher CVD risk and ~3% higher all-cause mortality; fish not. **Status: LDL chain causal; meat-CVD association strongly observational.**

4. Type-2 diabetes

The observational evidence is strong: a federated meta-analysis of 1.97 million adults (InterConnect, *Lancet Diabetes & Endocrinology* 2024, doi:10.1016/S2213-8587(24)00179-7) — robust association of high red- and processed-meat intake with elevated T2D risk, largely adiposity-mediated. Mechanistically, heme iron (§1.4) is the most plausible independent pathway. **But the Mendelian-randomization findings here are mixed** — some support causality, others not. So: *strongly observational, causally inconsistent* — weaker than the LDL chain. This differentiation is the honest reading and corrects earlier overstatement in the bundle.

5. Nutritional adequacy of plant-forward diets

This is the clinically decisive half so far underexposed in the bundle: is a reduced-animal or plant-based diet *nutritionally sufficient* — and under what conditions?

5.1 Position of the professional bodies. The *Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics* (the world’s largest nutrition body) holds: “Appropriately planned vegetarian, including vegan, diets are healthful, nutritionally adequate, and may provide health benefits ... appropriate for all stages of the life cycle, including pregnancy, lactation, infancy, childhood, adolescence, older adulthood, and for athletes” (Melina, Craig & Levin 2016, *J Acad Nutr Diet* 116(12):1970–1980, doi:10.1016/j.jand.2016.09.025). The British Dietetic Association and others concur. **The key phrase is “appropriately planned”.** Plant-forward eating is adequate — but conditionally, not automatically.

5.2 The hard mandatory nutrient: vitamin B12. B12 has **no reliable plant source**; unsupplemented vegans (and many vegetarians) develop deficiency with sometimes serious neurological and haematological consequences. Pawlak et al. 2013 (*Nutrition Reviews*, doi:10.1111/nure.12001) documents deficiency prevalences up to 86% in vegetarian children, 62% in pregnancy, 11–90% in the elderly — and refutes the myth that deficiency takes “20–30 years”. **Clinical consequence: B12 supplementation (e.g. ~250 µg/day or higher weekly) is mandatory, not optional, on a vegan diet.** This is not an argument against plant-based eating but its precondition — and biochemically trivial to meet.

5.3 Omega-3 (EPA/DHA). Plants supply ALA; conversion to DHA is low and sex-dependent (men typically <8%, women 9–21%; Burdge & Calder 2005, doi:10.1051/rnd:2005047). The solution in industrial societies: **algal DHA** (heterotrophic *Schizochytrium* production) — supplies it directly, without the detour through fish (Lane et al. 2014, doi:10.3390/nu6116402). This removes the strongest “you need fish” argument.

5.4 Iron and zinc. Non-heme iron is less bioavailable (phytates, polyphenols) but markedly improvable by vitamin-C pairing and processing (soaking, fermenting, sprouting); well-planned vegetarian diets do **not** carry higher anaemia prevalence. Heme iron also has a downside (§1.4). Zinc deserves analogous attention (bioavailability) but is covered by a diversified diet (legumes, whole grains, nuts, seeds).

5.5 Calcium, vitamin D, iodine, selenium. Calcium: via fortified plant drinks, tofu (calcium sulfate), leafy greens, sesame — bone health is not dairy-dependent (cf. §6). Vitamin D: a supplement topic for *all* diet patterns at low sun exposure (D2 vegan, D3 from lichen). Iodine: critical — iodised salt or supplement needed (true for the general population too). Selenium: regionally soil-dependent; Brazil nuts a dense source.

5.6 Protein, amino acids, leucine/creatine. “Incomplete plant protein” is an outdated argument: combined across the day (legumes + grains) yields a complete amino-acid profile; soy protein is already complete on its own. Mycoprotein and legumes meet requirements. Two honest nuances: leucine/mTOR stimulation (§1.3) is slightly lower from plants (relevant mainly for strength athletes, solvable via quantity/leucine enrichment); creatine and carnosine are lower on a plant diet — the cognitive/muscular effects of creatine supplementation in vegans are an active but not alarming research field.

5.7 Vitamin A and K2. Provitamin A (beta-carotene) is converted (conversion rate individually variable); vitamin K2 is partly endogenously formed from K1 and supplied via fermented foods (natto). No hard deficiency show-stoppers on a diversified diet.

Interim §5. Plant-forward/vegan eating is, by professional consensus, suitable for all life stages — **conditional on planning:** supplement B12 (mandatory), watch DHA (algal) and iodine/vitamin D, secure iron/zinc/calcium via a diversified, prepared diet. These conditions are biochemically trivial to meet and defuse the usual “deficiency” objections — leaving them out hands the counter-argument unnecessary ground.

6. Dairy — bone health without the milk norm

The universalised milk norm is biologically questionable (global lactase persistence ~35%, Itan et al. 2010). Empirically: no fracture protection from high milk intake in adults (Feskanich et al. 2014, *JAMA Pediatrics* 168(1):54–60, doi:10.1001/jamapediatrics.2013.3821); in two Swedish cohorts (n≈100,000) even higher mortality and fracture rate in women with >3 glasses/day (Michaëlsson et al. 2014, *BMJ* 349:g6015, doi:10.1136/bmj.g6015; proposed mechanism: D-galactose-induced oxidative stress). The CMA milk advertising was a state-(levy-)backed construct, struck down by the German Constitutional Court in 2009 (Az. 2 BvL 54/06). **Consequence: calcium yes, milk as an obligation no** — bone health is attainable via plant/fortified sources plus vitamin D, exercise and adequate protein.

7. Medicine / public health — pathogens, AMR, prions (condensed)

Antimicrobial resistance. Over two-thirds of globally produced antibiotics go to animal husbandry; Murray et al. 2022 (*Lancet*, doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(21)02724-0): ~1.27 M deaths directly attributable to AMR (2019), ~4.95 M with AMR as a contributing factor. **Zoonoses:** industrial husbandry as an incubation space (H1N1-2009 as reassortment in pig populations, Smith et al. 2009, *Nature*, doi:10.1038/nature08182). **Prions:** BSE→vCJD, 178 UK cases (NCJDRSU; none in the past eight years). These three are covered at length in the master/spin-off; anchored here as the medical part of the overall balance.

8. Mortality & interventions — evidence synthesis incl. the counter-position

Cohorts. EPIC-Oxford (Tong et al. 2019, *BMJ*, doi:10.1136/bmj.l4897): lower CHD, slightly higher stroke incidence in vegetarians/vegans. AHS-2 (Orlich et al. 2013, *JAMA Intern Med*, doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2013.6473): lower all-cause mortality in vegetarian subgroups (HR 0.88). General weakness: healthy-user bias, self-report, confounding.

Interventions. Ornish et al. 1998 (*JAMA* 280(23):2001–2007, doi:10.1001/jama.280.23.2001) and Esselstyn 2014: angiographically visible atherosclerosis regression on strictly plant-based diets — small, self-selected, but mechanistically probative.

Reference diet. The EAT-Lancet Commission (Willett et al. 2019, *Lancet* 393:447–492, doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31788-4) defines a strongly plant-forward “Planetary Health Diet”. Affordability caveat: ~1.58 billion people cannot afford it (Hirvonen et al. 2020, doi:10.1016/S2214-109X(19)30447-4) — an important class/Global-South limit.

The counter-position honestly: NutriRECS 2019. Johnston et al. 2019 (*Annals of Internal Medicine*, doi:10.7326/M19-1621) recommended — on GRADE-graded evidence — *not* to reduce current meat intake, since the observational evidence is “low to very low” and absolute effects small. This drew broad criticism (methodology, conflicts of interest, omission of environment/animal ethics; commentary in the same journal). **Fair framing:** NutriRECS is methodologically right that *nutritional cohort epidemiology* alone is weak (GRADE systematically penalises observational designs). But it overlooks that (a) the **causal** LDL chain (§3) does *not* depend on this weak cohort evidence, (b) mechanistic convergence (§1–2) adds weight, (c) environmental, AMR and animal dimensions lay outside its assessment frame. So NutriRECS does not refute the consilience argument — it only shows that *one* of fourteen layers (pure nutritional cohort epidemiology) is weak on its own. That is exactly what the multi-layer architecture is built for.

9. Evidence grading — what is how certain

Finding	Strongest method	Status
LDL → atherosclerosis	Mendelian randomization	Causally established Causal (surrogate) Strong (Group 1)
Saturated animal fat → LDL	RCT meta (Mensink/WHO)	
Processed meat → colorectal cancer	IARC consensus + mechanism	
Red meat → colorectal cancer	IARC	Probable (Group 2A)
Red/processed meat → T2D	Large cohort (1.97 M)	Strongly observational; MR mixed
Red/processed meat → CVD/mortality	Pooled cohorts (Zhong)	Strongly observational
Heme iron → NOC/carcinogenesis	Mechanism + animal model	Mechanistically well supported
IGF-1/mTOR (age-dependent)	Cohort + mechanism	Strongly observational
Neu5Gc / xenosialitis	Mouse model	Plausible, human unclear
TMAO	Cohort	Contested (marker vs. cause)
Postprandial endotoxaemia	Human short-term markers	Mechanistic
Milk → fracture protection	Cohort	Not supported (if anything, opposite)
AMR burden	Global burden estimate	Strong (order of magnitude)
Plant diet nutritionally adequate (planned)	Professional-body consensus	Consensus; B12 mandatory

10. Clinical synthesis

First: the *burden* side of high animal-product consumption rests not on a single study but on the convergence of several independent pathways — of which exactly one (LDL) is causally proven, several strongly observational or institutionally agreed (IARC), and some only mechanistically plausible. Keeping this grading honest is methodologically decisive and immune to the NutriRECS objection.

Second: the *alternative* — plant-forward to vegan eating — is, by professional consensus, suitable for all life stages, **provided it is planned**: supplement B12 (mandatory), watch DHA (algal), iodine and vitamin D, secure iron/zinc/calcium via a diversified, prepared diet. These conditions are trivially met.

Third — the caveat stays firm: this finding is *not* universalisable to subsistence pastoralism, smallholders, or indigenous food sovereignty, and affordability limits (EAT-Lancet) are real. The medical claim targets *industrial* high consumption in industrial societies — it is not a global purity prescription.

Track: FORSCHUNG · ORCID 0009-0003-9799-6747 · forschung@tschueller.com · CC BY 4.0 **As of:** 2026-06-16 · Extension to Layers 3, 5, 6, 7; all key sources web-verified 2026-06.